

On new classes of some nano closed sets

S. Ganesan¹, C. Alexander², M. Sugapriya³ and A. Aishwarya⁴

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of Mathematics,
Raja Doraisingam Government Arts College, Sivagangai-630561, Tamil Nadu, India.
(Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India)

^{3,4}Scholar, PG & Research Department of Mathematics,
Raja Doraisingam Government Arts College, Sivagangai-630561, Tamil Nadu, India.
(Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India)

¹sgsgsgsgsg77@gmail.com, ²alexchinna07@yahoo.com, ³sugapriya27194@gmail.com, ⁴anaishwarya95@gmail.com

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce a new class of sets called $N^*\mu$ -closed sets in Nano topological spaces and to study some of its basic properties. As applications of $N^*\mu$ -closed sets, we introduce $T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces, ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces and ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces. Moreover, we obtain certain new characterizations for the $T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces, ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces and ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54C10, 54A05, Secondary 54D15, 54D30.

Keywords: $N^*\mu$ -closed sets, $N^*\mu$ -open sets, $T_{N^{1/2}}$ -space, $T_{N\check{g}}$ -spaces, ${}_gT_{N\check{g}}$ -spaces and ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces.

Introduction

Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard [10] introduced and studied Nano semi-open, Nano α -open, Nano-preopen and Nano regular open respectively. Revathy and Illango [14] introduced and studied Nano β -open sets. Bhuvaneshwari and Mythili Gnanapriya [2] introduced Nano generalised closed sets. Bhuvaneshwari and Ezhilarasi [4], Thanga Nachiyar and Bhuvaneshwari [16] defined Nano generalized α -closed sets and Nano α generalized closed sets respectively. S. Ganesan et al [6] studied Nano $*g$ -closed sets. The aim of this paper, we introduce and study some basic properties of $N^*\mu$ -closed sets. As applications of $N^*\mu$ -closed sets, we introduce and study new spaces, namely $T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces, ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces and ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces. Moreover, we obtain their properties and characterizations.

1 PRELIMINARIES

1.1 Definition

[10] Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as the indiscernibility relation. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U, R) is said to be the approximation space. Let $X \subseteq U$.

1. The lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by $L_R(X)$.

That is, $L_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X\}$ where $R(x)$ denotes the equivalence class determined by X .

2. The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be possibly classified as X



with respect to R and it is denoted by $U_R(X)$.

That is, $U_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(X) : R(X) \cap X \neq \phi\}$

3. The boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be neither in nor as not- X with respect to R and it is denoted by $B_R(X)$. That is, $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$

1.2 Property

[10] If (U, R) is an approximation space and $X, Y \subseteq U$, then

1. $L_R(X) \subseteq X \subseteq U_R(X)$.
2. $L_R(\phi) = U_R(\phi) = \phi$, $L_R(U) = U_R(U) = U$.
3. $U_R(X \cup Y) = U_R(X) \cup U_R(Y)$.
4. $U_R(X \cap Y) \subseteq U_R(X) \cap U_R(Y)$.
5. $L_R(X \cup Y) \supseteq L_R(X) \cup L_R(Y)$.
6. $L_R(X \cap Y) = L_R(X) \cap L_R(Y)$.
7. $L_R(X) \subseteq L_R(Y)$ and $U_R(X) \subseteq U_R(Y)$ whenever $X \subseteq Y$.
8. $U_R(X^c) = [L_R(X)]^c$ and $L_R(X^c) = [U_R(X)]^c$.
9. $U_R(U_R(X)) = L_R(U_R(X)) = U_R(X)$.
10. $L_R(L_R(X)) = U_R(L_R(X)) = L_R(X)$.

1.3 Definition

[10] Let U be an universe, R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. Then by Property 1.2, $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms

1. $U, \phi \in \tau_R(X)$.
2. The union of the elements of any sub-collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.
3. The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

Then $\tau_R(X)$ is called the Nano topology on U with respect to X .

The space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is the Nano topological space. The elements of are called Nano open sets.

1.4 Definition

[10]

If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is the Nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $M \subseteq U$, then

1. The Nano interior of the set M is defined as the union of all Nano open subsets contained in M and it is denoted by $N\text{Inte}(M)$. That is, $N\text{Inte}(M)$ is the largest Nano open subset of M .
2. The Nano closure of the set M is defined as the intersection of all Nano closed sets containing M and it is denoted by $N\text{Clo}(M)$. That is, $N\text{Clo}(M)$ is the smallest Nano closed set containing M .

1.5 Definition

A subset M of a space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called:

1. Nano α -open set [10] if $M \subseteq N\text{Inte}(N\text{Clo}(N\text{Inte}(M)))$.
2. Nano semi-open set [10] if $M \subseteq N\text{Clo}(N\text{Inte}(M))$.
3. Nano pre-open set [10] if $M \subseteq N\text{Inte}(N\text{Clo}(M))$.
4. Nano β -open set [14] if $M \subseteq N\text{Clo}(N\text{Inte}(N\text{Clo}(M)))$.
5. Nano regular-open set [10] if $M = N\text{Inte}(N\text{Clo}(M))$.
6. Nano π -open set [1] if finite union of Nano regular-open set.

The complements of the above mentioned Nano open sets are called their respective Nano closed sets.

The Nano α -closure [7] (resp. Nano semi-closure [4, 5], Nano pre-closure [3], Nano semi-pre-closure) of a subset M of U , denoted by $N\alpha\text{clo}(M)$ (resp. $N\text{scl}(M)$, $N\text{pclo}(M)$, $N\beta\text{clo}(M)$) is defined to be the intersection of all Nano α -closed (resp. Nano semi-closed, Nano pre closed, Nano semi pre closed) sets of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ containing M .

1.6 Definition

A subset M of a space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called:

1. a Nano generalized closed (briefly Ng-closed) set [2] if $N\text{Clo}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
2. a Nano \hat{g} -closed (briefly \hat{g} -closed) set [8] if $N\text{Clo}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano semi-open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
3. a Nano rg-closed (briefly Nrg-closed) set [15] if $N\text{Clo}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano regular-open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
4. a Nano π g-closed (briefly $N\pi$ g-closed) set [13] if $N\text{Clo}(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano π -open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

5. a Nano generalized semi-closed (briefly Ngs-closed) set [4] if $Nscl(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
6. a Nano semi generalized closed (briefly Nsg-closed) set [4] if $Nscl(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano semi-open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
7. an Nano α -generalized closed (briefly $N\alpha$ -closed) set [16] if $N\alpha cl(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
8. a Nano generalized pre-closed (briefly Ngp-closed) set [3] $Npcl(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.
9. a Nano generalized semi pre-closed (briefly Ngsp-closed) set [15] $Nspcl(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

The complements of above Nano closed sets is called Nano open sets.

1.7 Remark

The collection of all Ng-closed (resp. Ngs-closed, Nsg-closed, $N\alpha$ -closed, $N\hat{g}$ -closed, Ngsp-closed, Nano semi-closed, Nano pre-closed, Nano β -closed) sets is denoted by $Ngc(\tau_R(X))$ (resp. $Ngsc(\tau_R(X))$, $Nsgc(\tau_R(X))$, $N\alpha gc(\tau_R(X))$, $N\hat{g}c(\tau_R(X))$, $Ngspc(\tau_R(X))$, $Nsc(\tau_R(X))$, $Npc(\tau_R(X))$, $N\beta c(\tau_R(X))$).

We denote the power set of U by $P(U)$.

1.8 Definition

A space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called:

- (i) $T_{N1/2}$ -space [6] if every Ng-closed set is Nano closed.
- (ii) T_{Nb} -space [6] if every Ngs-closed set is Nano closed.
- (iii) $N\alpha T_b$ -space [6] if every $N\alpha$ -closed set is Nano closed.
- (iv) $T_{N\alpha}$ -space [7] if every Nano α -closed set in it is Nano closed.
- (v) $N\alpha T_d$ -space [7] if every $N\alpha$ -closed set in it is Ng-closed.

2 $N^*\mu$ -CLOSED AND $N^*\mu$ -OPEN SETS

We introduce the definitions

2.1 Definition

A subset M of a space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called

1. Nano *g -semi closed set (briefly N^*gs -closed) if $Nscl(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is $N\hat{g}$ -open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. The complement of N^*gs -closed set is called N^*gs -open set.
2. Nano $^*\mu$ -closed set (briefly $N^*\mu$ -closed) if $Ncl(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is N^*gs -open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. The complement of $N^*\mu$ -closed set is called $N^*\mu$ -open set.
3. Nano $^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed (briefly $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed) set if $N\alpha clo(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is N^*gs -open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. The complement of $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed set is called $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -open set.
4. Nano $^*\mu_p$ -closed (briefly $N^*\mu_p$ -closed) set if $Npclo(M) \subseteq T$ whenever $M \subseteq T$ and T is N^*gs -open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. The complement of $N^*\mu_p$ -closed set is called $N^*\mu_p$ -open set.

The collection of all $N^*\mu$ -closed (resp. $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed, $N^*\mu_p$ -closed) sets is denoted by $N^*\mu c((\tau_R(X))$ (resp. $N^*\mu_\alpha c((\tau_R(X))$, $N^*\mu_p c((\tau_R(X))$).

2.2 Proposition

Every Nano closed set is $N^*\mu$ -closed.

Proof Let M be a Nano closed set and T be any N^*gs -open set containing M . Since M is Nano closed, we have $Nclo(M) = M \subseteq T$. Hence M is $N^*\mu$ -closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.2 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.3 Example

Let $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with $U/R = \{\{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2\}\}$ and $X = \{2\}$. The Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{1, 2\}, U\}$. Then $N^*\mu c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{1, 3, 4\}$ is $N^*\mu$ -closed set but not Nano closed.

2.4 Proposition

Every $N^*\mu$ -closed set is Ng -closed.

Proof Let M be an $N^*\mu$ -closed set and T be any Nano open set containing M . Since every Nano open set is N^*gs -open, we have $Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is Ng -closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.4 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.5 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.3. Then $Ng c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{1, 2, 3\}$ is Ng -closed set but not $N^*\mu$ -closed.

2.6 Proposition

Every $N^*\mu$ -closed set is Nrg-closed.

Proof Let M be an $N^*\mu$ -closed set and T be any Nano regular open set containing M . Since every Nano regular open set is Nano open set and every Nano open set is N^* gs-open set, we have $Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is Nrg-closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.6 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.7 Example

Let $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{4\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ and $X = \{1, 3\}$. The Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, U\}$. Then $N^*\mu c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{4\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$ and $Nrgc(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{1, 2\}$ is Nrg-closed set but not $N^*\mu$ -closed.

2.8 Proposition

Every $N^*\mu$ -closed set is $N\pi$ g-closed.

Proof Let M be an $N^*\mu$ -closed set and T be any Nano π -open set containing M . Since every Nano π -open set is Nano open set and every Nano open set is N^* gs-open set, we have $Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is $N\pi$ g-closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.8 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.9 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.3. Then $N\pi gc(\tau_R(X)) = P(U)$. Here, $H = \{2, 3\}$ is $N\pi$ g-closed set but not $N^*\mu$ -closed.

2.10 Proposition

Every $N^*\mu$ -closed set is Ngs-closed.

Proof Let M be an $N^*\mu$ -closed set and T be any Nano open set containing M . Since every Nano open set is N^* gs-open, we have $Nsclo(M) \subseteq Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is Ngs-closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.10 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.11 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.3. Then $Ngsc(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{3\}$ is Ngs-closed set but not $N^*\mu$ -closed.

2.12 Proposition

Every $N^*\mu$ -closed set is Nsg-closed.

Proof Let M be an $N^*\mu$ -closed set and T be any Nano semi-open set containing M . Since every Nano semi-open set is N^* gs-open, we have $Nsclo(M) \subseteq Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is Nsg-closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.12 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.13 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.3. Then $Nsgc(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{4\}$ is Nsg-closed set but not $N^*\mu$ -closed.

2.14 Proposition

Every $N^*\mu$ -closed set is Ngp-closed.

Proof Let M be an $N^*\mu$ -closed set and T be any Nano open set containing M . Since every Nano open set is N^* gs-open, we have $Npclo(M) \subseteq Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is Ngp-closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.14 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.15 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.7. Then $Ngpc(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{2\}$ is Ngp-closed set but not $N^*\mu$ -closed.

2.16 Proposition

Every $N^*\mu$ -closed set is Ngsp-closed.

Proof Let M be an $N^*\mu$ -closed set and T be any Nano open set containing M . Since every Nano open set is N^* g-open, we have $Nspclo(M) \subseteq Nclo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is Ngsp-closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.16 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.17 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.3. Then $Ngspc(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{1, 2, 4\}$ is Ngsp-closed set but not $N^*\mu$ -closed.

2.18 Proposition

Every $N^*\mu$ -closed set is Nag-closed.

Proof Let M be an $N^*\mu$ -closed set and T be any Nano open set containing M . Since every Nano open set is N^* gs-open, we have $N\alpha\text{clo}(M) \subseteq N\text{clo}(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is $N\alpha$ g-closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.18 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.19 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.3. Then $N\alpha\text{gc}(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{4\}$ is $N\alpha$ g-closed set but not $N^*\mu$ -closed.

2.20 Proposition

Every Nano α -closed set is $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed.

Proof Let M be an Nano α -closed set and T be any N^* gs-open set containing M . Since M is Nano α -closed, we have $N\alpha\text{clo}(M) = M \subseteq T$. Hence M is $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.20 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.21 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.3. Then $N^*\mu_\alpha\text{c}(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$ and $N\alpha\text{c}(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{3, 4\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{1, 3, 4\}$ is $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed set but not Nano α -closed.

2.22 Proposition

Every $N^*\mu$ -closed set is $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed.

Proof Let M be an $N^*\mu$ -closed set and T be any N^* gs-open set containing M . We have $N\alpha\text{clo}(M) \subseteq N\text{clo}(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.22 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.23 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.21. Here, $H = \{3\}$ is $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed but not $N^*\mu$ -closed.

2.24 Proposition

Every $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed set is $N^*\mu_p$ -closed.

Proof Let M be an $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed set and T be any N^* gs-open set containing M . We have $N\text{pclo}(M) \subseteq N\alpha\text{clo}(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is $N^*\mu_p$ -closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.24 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.25 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.7. Then $N^*\mu_\alpha c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{4\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$ and $N^*\mu_p c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{3\}$ is $N^*\mu_p$ -closed set but not $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed.

2.26 Proposition

Every $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed set is $N\alpha g$ -closed.

Proof Let M be an $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed set and T be any N^* gs-open set containing M . We have $N\alpha clo(M) \subseteq T$. Hence M is $N\alpha g$ -closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.26 need not be true as seen from the following example.

2.27 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.19. Then $N^*\mu_\alpha c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, U\}$. Here, $H = \{1, 3\}$ is $N\alpha g$ -closed set but not $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -closed.

2.28 Remark

If P and Q are $N^*\mu$ -closed sets, then $P \cup Q$ is $N^*\mu$ -closed set.

Proof Let P and Q be any two $N^*\mu$ -closed sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and G be any N^* gs-open set containing P and Q . We have $Nclo(P) \subseteq G$ and $Nclo(Q) \subseteq G$. Thus, $Nclo(P \cup Q) = Nclo(P) \cup Nclo(Q) \subseteq G$. Hence $P \cup Q$ is $N^*\mu$ -closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. \square

2.29 Remark

If K and L are $N^*\mu$ -closed sets, then $K \cap L$ is a $N^*\mu$ -closed set.

2.30 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.7. Here, $k = \{1, 4\}$ and $L = \{2, 4\}$ are $N^*\mu$ -closed sets but $K \cap L = \{1, 2, 4\}$ is a $N^*\mu$ -closed set.

2.31 Proposition

If a subset M of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $N^*\mu$ -closed if and only if $Nclo(A) - M$ does not contain any nonempty N^* gs-closed set.

Proof Necessity. Suppose that M is $N^*\mu$ -closed. Let S be a N^* gs-closed subset of $Nclo(M) - M$. Then $M \subseteq S^c$. Since M is $N^*\mu$ -closed, we have $Nclo(M) \subseteq S^c$. Consequently, $S \subseteq (Nclo(M))^c$. Hence, $S \subseteq Nclo(M) \cap (Nclo(M))^c = \phi$. Therefore S is empty.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $Nclo(M) - M$ contains no nonempty N^* gs-closed set. Let $M \subseteq G$ and G be N^* gs-closed. If $Nclo(M) \neq G$, then $Nclo(M) \subseteq G^c \neq \phi$. Since $Nclo(M)$ is a Nano closed set and G^c is a N^* gs-closed set, $Nclo(M) \cap G^c$ is a nonempty N^* gs-closed subset of $Nclo(M) - M$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $Nclo(M) \subseteq G$ and hence M is $N^*\mu$ -closed. \square

2.32 Proposition

If A is $N^*\mu$ -closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq Nclo(A)$, then B is also a $N^*\mu$ -closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Proof Let W be a N^* gs-open set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ such that $B \subseteq W$. Then $A \subseteq W$. Since A is $N^*\mu$ -closed, we get, $Nclo(A) \subseteq W$. Now $Nclo(B) \subseteq Nclo(Nclo(A)) = Nclo(A) \subseteq W$. Therefore, B is also a $N^*\mu$ -closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. \square

2.33 Definition

The intersection of all N^* gs-open subsets of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ containing A is called the Nano * gs-kernel of A and denoted by N^* gs-ker(A).

2.34 Lemma

A subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $N^*\mu$ -closed if and only if $Nclo(A) \subseteq N^*$ gs-ker(A).

Proof Suppose that A is $N^*\mu$ -closed. Then $Nclo(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is N^* gs-open. Let $x \in Nclo(A)$. If $x \notin N^*$ gs-ker(A), then there is a N^* gs-open set U containing A such that $x \notin U$. Since U is a N^* gs-open set containing A , we have $x \notin Nclo(A)$ and this is a contradiction.

Conversely, let $Nclo(A) \subseteq N^*$ gs-ker(A). If U is any N^* gs-open set containing A , then $Nclo(A) \subseteq N^*$ gs-ker(A) $\subseteq U$. Therefore, A is $N^*\mu$ -closed. \square

2.35 Definition

A subset M of a space U is said to be $N^*\mu$ -open if M^C is $N^*\mu$ -closed.

The class of all $N^*\mu$ -open subsets of U is denoted by $N^*\mu O(\tau_R(X))$.

2.36 Proposition

1. Every Nano open set is $N^*\mu$ -open set but not conversely.
2. Every $N^*\mu$ -open set is Ng-open set but not conversely.
3. Every $N^*\mu$ -open set is Nrg-open set but not conversely.

4. Every $N^*\mu$ -open set is $N\pi g$ -open set but not conversely.
5. Every $N^*\mu$ -open set is Ngs -open set but not conversely.
6. Every $N^*\mu$ -open set is Nsg -open set but not conversely.
7. Every $N^*\mu$ -open set is Ngp -open set but not conversely.
8. Every $N^*\mu$ -open set is $Ngsp$ -open set but not conversely.
9. Every $N^*\mu$ -open set is $N\alpha g$ -open set but not conversely.
10. Every Nano α -open set is $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -open but not conversely.
11. Every $N^*\mu$ -open set is $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -open set but not conversely.
12. Every $N^*\mu_\alpha$ -open set is $N^*\mu_p$ -open but not conversely.

Proof Omitted. \square

2.37 Proposition

A subset M of a Nano topological space U is said to $N^*\mu$ -open if and only if $P \subseteq Ninto(M)$ whenever $M \supseteq P$ and P is N^*gs -closed in U .

Proof Suppose that M is $N^*\mu$ -open in U and $M \supseteq P$, where P is N^*gs -closed in U . Then $M^c \subseteq P^c$, where P^c is N^*gs -open-open in U . Hence we get $Nclo(M^c) \subseteq P^c$ implies $(Ninto(M))^c \subseteq P^c$. Thus, we have $Ninto(M) \supseteq P$. conversely, suppose that $M^c \subseteq T$ and T is N^*gs -open-open in U then $M \supseteq T^c$ and T^c is N^*gs -closed then by hypothesis $Ninto(M) \supseteq T^c$ implies $(Ninto(M))^c \subseteq T$. Hence $Nclo(M^c) \subseteq T$ gives M^c is $N^*\mu$ -closed. \square

2.38 Proposition

In a Nano topological space U , for each $u \in U$, either $\{u\}$ is N^*gs -closed or $N^*\mu$ -open in U .

Proof Suppose that $\{u\}$ is not N^*gs -closed in U . Then $\{u\}^c$ is not N^*gs -open-open and the only N^*gs -open set containing $\{u\}^c$ is the space U itself. Therefore, $Nclo(\{u\}^c) \subseteq U$ and so $\{u\}^c$ is $N^*\mu$ -closed gives $\{u\}$ is $N^*\mu$ -open. \square

3 $T_{N^*\mu}$ -SPACES

We introduce the following definition.

3.1 Definition

A space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called a $T_{N^*\mu}$ -space if every $N^*\mu$ -closed set in it is Nano closed.

3.2 Example

Let $U = \{1, 2, 3\}$, with $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ and $X = \{1\}$. Then the Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{1\}, U\}$. Here $N^*\mu c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{2, 3\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{N^*\mu}$ -space.

3.3 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 2.7. Then $N^*\mu c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, r\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a $T_{N^*\mu}$ -space.

3.4 Proposition

Every $T_{N1/2}$ -space is $T_{N^*\mu}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof Follows from Proposition 2.4. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.4 need not be true as seen from the following example.

3.5 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 3.2. $Ngc(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a $T_{N1/2}$ -space.

3.6 Proposition

Every $N_\alpha T_b$ -space is $T_{N^*\mu}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof Follows from Proposition 2.18. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.6 need not be true as seen from the following example.

3.7 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 3.2. $N_\alpha gc(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a $N_\alpha T_b$ -space.

3.8 Proposition

Every T_{Nb} -space is $T_{N^*\mu}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof Follows from Proposition 2.10. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.8 need not be true as seen from the following example.

3.9 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 3.2. $\text{Ngsc}(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a T_{Nb} -space.

3.10 Remark

$T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces and $T_{N\alpha}$ -spaces are independent.

3.11 Example

Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 3.2, $\text{Nac}(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{2, 3\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{N^*\mu}$ -space but not a $T_{N\alpha}$ -space.

3.12 Example

Let $U = \{1, 2, 3\}$, with $U/R = \{\{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 1\}\}$ and $X = \{1, 2\}$. Then the Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{1, 2\}, U\}$. Then $N^*\mu c(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, U\}$ and $\text{Nac}(\tau_R(X)) = \{\phi, \{3\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{N\alpha}$ -space but not $T_{N^*\mu}$ -space.

3.13 Theorem

For a space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{N^*\mu}$ -space.
- (ii) Every singleton subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is either N^* gs-closed or Nano open.

Proof (i) \rightarrow (ii). Assume that for some $u \in U$, the set $\{u\}$ is not a N^* gs-closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then the only N^* gs-open set containing $\{u\}^c$ is U and so $\{u\}^c$ is $N^*\mu$ -closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. By assumption $\{u\}^c$ is Nano closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ or equivalently $\{u\}$ is Nano open.

(ii) \rightarrow (i). Let M be a $N^*\mu$ -closed subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and let $u \in \text{Nclo}(M)$. By assumption $\{u\}$ is either N^* gs-closed or Nano open.

Case (a) Suppose that $\{u\}$ is N^* gs-closed. If $u \notin M$, then $\text{Nclo}(M) - M$ contains a nonempty N^* gs-closed set $\{u\}$, which is a contradiction to Theorem 2.31. Therefore $u \in M$.

Case (b) Suppose that $\{u\}$ is Nano open. Since $u \in \text{Nclo}(M)$, $\{u\} \cap M \neq \phi$ and so $u \in M$. Thus in both case, $u \in M$ and therefore $\text{Nclo}(M) \subseteq M$ or equivalently M is a Nano closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. \square

4 ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -SPACES

4.1 Definition

A space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called a ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -space if every Ng-closed set in it is $N^*\mu$ -closed.

4.2 Example

Let X and τ as in the Example 2.7, is a ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -space and the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ in the Example 3.2, is not a ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -space.

4.3 Proposition

Every $T_{N1/2}$ -space is ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof Follows from Proposition 2.2. \square

The converse of Proposition 4.3 need not be true as seen from the following example.

4.4 Example

Let X and τ as in the Example 2.7, is a ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -space but not a $T_{N1/2}$ -space.

4.5 Remark

$T_{N^*\mu}$ -space and ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -space are independent.

4.6 Example

The space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ in the Example 2.7, is a ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -space but not a $T_{N^*\mu}$ -space and the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ in the Example 3.2, is a $T_{N^*\mu}$ -space but not a ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -space.

4.7 Theorem

If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -space, then every singleton subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is either Ng-closed or $N^*\mu$ -open.

Proof Assume that for some $x \in X$, the set $\{x\}$ is not a Ng-closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then $\{x\}$ is not a Nano closed set, since every Nano closed set is a Ng-closed set. So $\{x\}^c$ is not Nano open and the only Nano open set containing $\{x\}^c$ is X itself. Therefore $\{x\}^c$ is trivially a Ng-closed set and by assumption, $\{x\}^c$ is an $N^*\mu$ -closed set or equivalently $\{x\}$ is $N^*\mu$ -open. \square

The converse of Theorem 4.7 need not be true as seen from the following example.

4.8 Example

Let X and τ as in the Example 3.2. The sets $\{2\}$ and $\{3\}$ are Ng-closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and the set $\{1\}$ is $N^*\mu$ -open. But the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$ -space.

4.9 Theorem

A space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $T_{N_{1/2}}$ if and only if it is both $T_{N^*\mu}$ and ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$.

Proof Necessity. Follows from Propositions 3.4 and 4.3.

Sufficiency. Assume that $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is both $T_{N^*\mu}$ and ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$. Let A be a Ng-closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then A is $N^*\mu$ -closed, since $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a ${}_gT_{N^*\mu}$. Again since $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{N^*\mu}$, A is a Nano closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and so $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $T_{1/2}$. \square

5 ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -SPACES

5.1 Definition

A space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called a ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -space if every Ng-closed set in it is $N^*\mu$ -closed.

5.2 Example

Let $U = \{1, 2, 3\}$, with $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ and $X = \{1, 3\}$. Then the Nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, U\}$. Thus $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -space and the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ in the Example 2.3, is not a ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -space.

5.3 Proposition

Every $N_\alpha T_b$ -space is ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof Follows from Proposition 2.2. \square

The converse of Proposition 6.3 need not be true as seen from the following example.

5.4 Example

Let X and $\tau_R(X)$ in the Example 5.2, is a ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -space but not a $N_\alpha T_b$ -space.

5.5 Proposition

Every ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -space is a $N_\alpha T_d$ -space but not conversely.

Proof Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be an ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -space and let A be an Ng-closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Then A is a $N^*\mu$ -closed subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and by Proposition 2.4, A is Ng-closed. Therefore $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is an $N_\alpha T_d$ -space. \square

The converse of Proposition 5.5 need not be true as seen from the following example.

5.6 Example

Let X and $\tau_R(X)$ in the Example 2.3, is a $N_\alpha T_d$ -space but not a ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -space.

5.7 Theorem

If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -space, then every singleton subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is either $N\alpha g$ -closed or $N^*\mu$ -open.

Proof Similar to Theorem 4.7. \square

The converse of Theorem 5.7 need not be true as seen from the following example.

5.8 Example

Let X and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 3.2. The sets $\{2\}$ and $\{3\}$ are $N\alpha g$ -closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ and the set $\{1\}$ is $N^*\mu$ -open. But the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not a ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -space.

Conclusions In this paper is to introduce a new class of sets called $N^*\mu$ -closed sets in Nano topological spaces and to study some of its basic properties. As applications of $N^*\mu$ -closed sets, we introduce $T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces, ${}_g T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces and ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces. Moreover, we obtain certain new characterizations for the $T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces, ${}_g T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces and ${}_\alpha T_{N^*\mu}$ -spaces. In future, we have extend this work in various nano topological field with some applications.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to thank the editors and the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions which have helped immensely in improving the quality of the paper.

References

- [1] Adinatha C. Upadhyaya, On quasi nano p-normal spaces, International Journal of Recent Scientific Research, 8(6)(2017), 17748 -17751.
- [2] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Mythili Gnanapriya, Nano generalized closed sets in Nano topological spaces, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 4(5) (2014), 2250-3153.
- [3] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Mythili Gnanapriya, On nano generalized pre closed sets and nano pre generalised closed sets in nano topological spaces, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 3(10) (2014), 16825-16829.
- [4] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K.Ezhilarasi, On nano semi generalized and nano generalized semi-closed sets, IJMCAR, 4(3)(2014), 117-124.
- [5] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Ezhilarasi, Nano generalized semi continuity in nanno topological spaces, International Research Journal of Pure Algebra-6(8) 2016, 361-367.

- [6] S. Ganesan, C. Alexander, B. Sarathkumar and K. Anusuya, N^* -g-closed sets in nano topological spaces, *Journal of Applied Science and Computations*, 6(4) (2019), 1243-1252.
- [7] S. Ganesan, S. M. Sandhya, S. Jeyashri and C. Alexander, Between nano closed sets and nano generalized closed sets in nano topological spaces, *Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal*, 9(2020), no 3, 757-771. <http://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.3.5>
- [8] R.Lalitha and A.Francina Shalini, On nano generalized \wedge -closed and open sets in nano topological spaces, *International Journal of Applied Research*, 3(5) (2017), 368 – 371.
- [9] M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, Note on Nano topological spaces, *Communicated*.
- [10] M. LellisThivagar and Carmel Richard, On Nano forms of weakly open sets, *International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention*, 1(1)(2013), 31-37.
- [11] M. LellisThivagar and Carmel Richard, On Nano continuity, *Mathematical Theory and Modeling*, 3(7)(2013), 32-37.
- [12] C. R. Parvathy and S.Praveena, On nano generalized pre regular closed sets in nano topological spaces, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM)*, 13(2)(2017), 56-60.
- [13] I. Rajasekaran and O. Nethaji, On some new subsets of nano topological spaces, *Journal of New Theory*, 16 (2017) 52-58.
- [14] A. Revarhy and G. Illango, on nano β -open sets, *International Journal of engineering Contemporary Mathematics and Sciences*,1(2) (2015), 1-6.
- [15] P. Sulochana Devi and K. Bhuvaneshwari, On nano regular generalized and nano generalized regular closed Sets in nano topological Spaces, *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology*, 8(13)(2014), 386-390.
- [16] R. Thanga Nachiyar and K. Bhuvaneshwari, On nano generalized A-closed sets and nano A-generalized closed sets in nano topological spaces, *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology*, 6(13) (2014), 257-260.